

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP  
ROBERT A. VAN NEST - #84065  
rvannest@kvn.com  
CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - #184325  
canderson@kvn.com  
DANIEL PURCELL - #191424  
dpurcell@kvn.com  
710 Sansome Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111-1704  
Telephone: (415) 391-5400  
Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

KING & SPALDING LLP  
SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
sweingaertner@kslaw.com  
ROBERT F. PERRY  
rperry@kslaw.com  
BRUCE W. BABER (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
bbaber@kslaw.com  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036-4003  
Telephone: (212) 556-2100  
Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

KING & SPALDING LLP  
DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. - #112279  
fzimmer@kslaw.com  
CHERYL A. SABNIS - #224323  
csabnis@kslaw.com  
101 Second Street, Suite 2300  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 318-1200  
Facsimile: (415) 318-1300

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  
IAN C. BALLON - #141819  
ballon@gtlaw.com  
HEATHER MEEKER - #172148  
meekerh@gtlaw.com  
1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Telephone: (650) 328-8500  
Facsimile: (650) 328-8508

Attorneys for Defendant  
GOOGLE INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
GOOGLE INC.,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 3:10-cv-03561 WHA

**GOOGLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS  
UNDER SEAL**

Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor  
Judge: The Honorable William Alsup

1 Pursuant to the Northern District of California's Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5,  
2 Defendant Google Inc. ("Google") hereby brings this Administrative Motion to Seal the  
3 following material designated by Oracle America, Inc. ("Oracle") as "Confidential" or "Highly  
4 Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to the Order Approving Stipulated Protective  
5 Order Subject to Stated Conditions entered in this case [Dkt. No. 68.]:

- 6 • The redacted sections of Google Inc.'s Supplemental Copyright Liability Trial  
7 Brief Pursuant to March 26, 2012 Order.

8 Google takes no position as to whether disclosure of these materials would cause harm to  
9 Oracle, and Google would not oppose an order requiring Oracle to make that information public.

10 Dated: March 27, 2012

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

11 By: /s/ Robert A. Van Nest

12 ROBERT A. VAN NEST  
13 Attorneys for Defendant  
14 GOOGLE INC.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28